

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/893,138 06/28/2001 F-7038 Uemura Kensuke 4022 7590 07/02/2003 Jordan and Hamburg EXAMINER 122 East 42nd Street WILSON, JOHN J New York, NY 10168 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3732

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		(\mathcal{U})
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/893,138	KENSUKE ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		John J. Wilson	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M	<u>1ay 2003</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
•	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2 and 4-6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are rejected.		
• -	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
I S Patent and Tra	demark Office		

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of the Group V invention, claim 3, in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1, 2 and 4-6 stand withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non-elected inventions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 3, "and corrosion resistance modified products" is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chiaramonte (4108642). Chiaramonte teaches a denture, column 1, lines 10, formed with a metal that is polished, column 3, lines 63-65. All of the actual claimed structure being shown, the method used to form the final structure is given no patentable weight. It is noted that in a product by process claim, the structure is properly met if the final structure is shown, regardless of how the structure is formed.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cecconi (4995811). Cecconi teaches a polished a metal denture, column 4, lines 57-63. All of the actual claimed structure being shown, the method used to form the final structure is given no patentable weight.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kirkpatric (4229232). Kirkpatric shows processing a metal using a pulsed electron beam. If the second part of claim 3, "and corrosion resistance modified products", is intended to claim any product, then the claim is met by Kirkpatric.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the denture must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Page 4

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lissotschenko et al (6416237) shows polishing a surface with an electron beam, column 5, lines 17-24.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Wilson at telephone number (703) 308-2699.

> John J. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

iiw

June 24, 2003

Fax (703) 308-2708

Work Schedule: Monday through Friday, Flex Time